Summary of governing equations

Textbooks and web sites references for this lecture:

• James R. Holton, An Introduction to Dynamic Meteorology, Academic Press, 1992, ISBN 0-12-354355-X (§ 1-3)

Momentum equation (Navier-Stokes)

The momentum equation can be written assuming that the only real forces acting on the atmosphere are pressure gradient force, gravitation and friction, and the apparent Coriolis force is written explicitly while the apparent centrifugal force has been included in the gravity term g:

$$\frac{d\vec{V}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p - 2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{V} - g\hat{k} + \vec{F_r}$$

□ This equation can be splitted into the three components:

$$\frac{du}{dt} - \frac{uv\tan\phi}{a} + \frac{uw}{a} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + 2\Omega v\sin\phi - 2\Omega w\cos\phi + F_{rx}$$
$$\frac{dv}{dt} + \frac{u^2\tan\phi}{a} + \frac{vw}{a} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - 2\Omega u\sin\phi + F_{ry}$$
$$\frac{dw}{dt} - \frac{u^2 + v^2}{a} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + 2\Omega u\cos\phi + g + F_{rz}$$

C. Cassardo - Summary of governing equations

Synoptic scale analysis

- Scaling is a technique useful to determine whether some terms in the equation are negligible for motions of meteorological concern
- Elimination of terms on scaling considerations simplify mathematics and allows to eliminate and filter unwanted types of motions, like sound waves
- □ The following scales are assumed characteristics for mid-latitude synoptic systems

U	~	10 m s ⁻¹	wind speed scale
W	≈	0.01 m s ⁻¹	vertical velocity scale
L	~	10 ⁶ m	horizontal length scale
Н	\approx	10 ⁴ m	vertical length scale
р	\approx	1000 hPa (10 ⁵ Pa)	mean surface pressure
Δp	\approx	10 hPa (10 ³ Pa)	pressure horizontal variation scale
Δρ/ρ	\approx	10-2	fractional density fluctuation
$T \approx L/U$	\approx	10 ⁵ s	time scale
ν	\approx	10 ⁻¹ m ² s ⁻¹	kinematic air diffusivity
f ₀	\approx	10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	Coriolis parameter
а	≈	10 ⁷ m	Earth radius

Scale analysis of horizontal equation

It is possible to scale each term of each horizontal equation using previously defined scales and the definition of the Coriolis parameter:
f₀ = 2 Ω sin f₀ = 2 Ω cos φ₀ = 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹

$$\begin{aligned} x - eq. \quad \frac{du}{dt} - \frac{uv \tan \phi}{a} + \frac{uw}{a} &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + 2\Omega v \sin \phi - 2\Omega w \cos \phi + F_{rx} \\ y - eq. \quad \frac{dv}{dt} + \frac{u^2 \tan \phi}{a} + \frac{vw}{a} &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - 2\Omega u \sin \phi \\ Scales \quad \frac{U^2}{L} \frac{U^2}{a} \frac{U^2}{a} \frac{UW}{a} \frac{\partial P}{\rho L} f_0 U \quad f_0 W \quad \frac{vU}{L^2} \\ (ms^{-2}) \quad 10^{-4} \quad 10^{-5} \quad 10^{-8} \quad 10^{-3} \quad 10^{-3} \quad 10^{-6} \quad 10^{-12} \end{aligned}$$

Geostrophic wind

Retaining only two bigger terms from the analysis equation, it is possible to write the first approximation geostrophic balance:

$$-fv \approx -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad fu \approx -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$$
$$\mathbf{V}_{g} \equiv \mathbf{k} \times \frac{1}{\rho f} \nabla p$$

This equation is diagnostic (no reference to time) and allows the definition of geostrophic wind as balance between Coriolis and pressure gradient forces

Approximate prognostic equation

To obtain prediction equation it is necessary to retain also acceleration term. The resulting approximate horizontal equations can be written as:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = fv - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = f\left(v - v_g\right)$$
$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -fu - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = -f\left(u - u_g\right)$$

- □ In these equations, acceleration term (small) is given by the difference between two larger terms → large errors in its determination
- Measure of magnitude of acceleration compared with Coriolis force is given by the ratio of their characteristic scales, called Rossby number:

$$Ro \equiv \frac{U^2/L}{f_0 U} = \frac{U}{f_0 L}$$

Scale analysis of vertical equation

■ By scaling in the same way vertical equation, we arrive to:

$$z - eq. \quad \frac{dw}{dt} - \frac{u^2 + v^2}{a} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + 2\Omega u \cos \phi + g + F_{rz}$$

Scales $UW/L \quad \frac{U^2}{a} \qquad \frac{\Delta p}{\rho H} \qquad f_0 U \qquad g \quad \frac{vW}{H^2}$
 $(ms^{-2}) \quad 10^{-7} \quad 10^{-5} \qquad 10 \qquad 10^{-3} \qquad 10 \quad 10^{-15}$

The biggest terms give the hydrostatic approximation (valid also for perturbations):

$$\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{dp_0}{dz} \equiv -g \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp'}{dz} = -g$$

Continuity equation

It can be written in one of the two forms:

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \nabla \circ \vec{V} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \circ \left(\rho \vec{V}\right) = 0$$

Scale analysis of the second show that local time derivative of pressure is an order of magnitude lower, leaving:

$$\nabla \circ \left(\rho \vec{V} \right) = 0$$

Notice that this is different from the incompressible fluid hypothesis, valid in the boundary layer

$$\nabla \circ \vec{V} = 0$$

Thermodynamic energy equation

□ Starting from the usual form of thermodynamic equation:

 $\delta Q = \delta L + dU = pdV + C_v dT$ mass $\delta q = Jdt = pd\alpha + c_v dT$ where J=dq/dt is the rate of heating per unit mass owing to radiation, conduction, and latent heat release, and $\alpha = 1/r$, we can use continuity equation to show that:

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \nabla \circ \vec{V} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\rho^2}\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla \circ \vec{V} = -\frac{1}{\rho^2}\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{d\alpha}{dt}$$

□ For dry air, internal energy per unit mass is defined as e=c_vT

This allows to rewrite thermodynamic equation as:

C. Cassardo - Summary of governing equations

Thermodynamic energy equation

$$\rho \frac{de}{dt} = -p\nabla \circ \vec{V} + \rho J$$

□ Let's suppose no convergence/divergence $(\nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0)$: in this case, a positive (negative) J produces positive (negative) variation of e, e.g. positive (negative) variation of T

□ Let's suppose no heating rate (J = 0): in this case, a positive (negative) convergence $(\nabla \cdot \vec{V} > 0)$ produces negative (positive) variation of e, e.g. negative (positive) variation of T